UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,244	04/21/2006	Tamio Endo	040473	2227
23850 7590 07/08/2010 KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP 1420 K Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			BLAN, NICOLE R	
4th Floor WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/510,244	ENDO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	NICOLE BLAN	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Oc</u>	etoher 2004					
	action is non-final.					
	<i>'-</i>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement					
	nootion roquiromont.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	,, — , , , , , ,	(DTO 110)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/510,244 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-20, drawn to apparatus.

Group II, claim(s) 21-33, drawn to method.

2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The common technical feature linking the invention is cleaning a surface that is transferred into a chamber and held on a holder by forming droplets from a cleaning liquid such that the temperature of the cleaning liquid is controlled and that the temperature and humidity of the closer is regulated.

Okuda (U.S. PGPub 2002/0035762) teaches cleaning a substrate that is placed on a holder [(1015), Fig. 1; page 7, paragraphs 165-1687] using a nozzle that generates droplets [(1012), Fig. 6] where the temperature of the cleaning liquid is controlled [(1051), Fig. 6; page 9, paragraphs 188-189]. Okuda does not teach regulating the temperature and humidity in the chamber. However, Hung (U.S. Patent 6,027,602) teaches cleaning a substrate by supplying cleaning solution to the substrate using nozzles where the temperature and humidity is

Art Unit: 1712

controlled in the closed chamber [reads on "closer"] in order to produce uniform etching/cleaning as well as preventing watermarks from forming on the surface due to controlling the temperature and humidity inside of the chamber [see abstract; col. 4, lines 13-18; col. 4, line 64 – col. 5, line 16]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to control the temperature and humidity in a closed chamber as taught by Hung using the nozzle with a heater of Okuda with a reasonable expectation of success because Hung discloses that controlling the temperature and humidity produces uniform etching/cleaning as well as preventing watermarks from forming on the surface due to controlling the temperature and humidity inside of the chamber.

Hung teaches that the substrate is placed on a holder [col. 4, lines 35-36], but it does not teach that a transferrer transfers the substrate. However, Verhaverbeke (U.S. PGPub 2003/0045098) teaches it is known to transfer a substrate into a cleaning chamber using a wafer handling device [(104), Fig. 1; page 2, paragraph 42]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transfer a substrate into the processing chamber of modified Okuda using a wafer handling device as taught by Verhaverbeke because Verhaverbeke illustrates how to transfer a substrate into a chamber so that it can undergo a cleaning process.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Application/Control Number: 10/510,244

Art Unit: 1712

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NICOLE BLAN whose telephone number is (571)270-1838. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday 8-5 and alternating Fridays 8-4.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,244 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nicole Blan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1712

/Michael Cleveland/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1712